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Ecosystem Seasonality from Space

• Seasonal variations depict a canopies integrated response to environmental change and, in turn, influence local biogeochemical processes, photosynthesis, water cycling, soil moisture depletion, and canopy physiology.

Factors controlling Phenology

1. Physical/Climate i.e. rainfall: amount and seasonality, temperature: season, radiation: Light/Photoperiod
2. Vegetation/Physiognomy: species, compositions, structure
3. Disturbance: patterns of disturbance, recovery, frequency
Savanna Biome (structure and functioning)

- Tropical savannas are key components of the global carbon and water cycles and understanding their functioning is critical to understanding ecosystem feedbacks to global climate.

- Savannas are highly varied in their composition, structure and function consisting of seasonally variable contributions of C3 overstorey and C4 understorey (e.g. grass may account 20% ET and 50% GPP in wet season).

- By observing broad scale vegetation responses to climatic variability, remote sensing offers powerful insights into the patterns and processes underlying savanna behaviour.

- However, seasonal variations in satellite data need to be sufficiently accurate to ensure confidence in interpreting vegetation responses to inter-annual climatic variation and to aid in constraining models of carbon and water fluxes.
Objectives

- Analyse high MODIS and EC tower flux data across a tropical savanna aridity gradient in northern Australia. (*controlled by Indo-Australian Monsoon*).

- Integrate tower fluxes of GPP and ET with several key MODIS vegetation products across a range of spatio-temporal footprints.

- Investigate satellite product sensitivities to *seasonal* variability in rainfall and tower fluxes across the aridity gradient.

- Investigate sensitivity of MODIS data to detect *inter-annual* patterns in tower fluxes.

According to the 'Resource optimization paradigm', plants tend to adjust their foliage density over time periods of days to weeks, to match the level of photosynthesis that can be supported by the environment [Field et al. 1995].
5 years of daily flux measurements from Howard Springs site (La Thuille dataset) were averaged to 8d and 16d intervals to match MODIS.

MODIS EVI, NDVI at 16d/250m; 8d/500m; and 16d/0.05° were analysed from 2000-2010 (MOD13Q1, MOD09A1, MOD13C1).

MODIS EVI data QA filtered to remove clouds, mixed clouds, high aerosol, aerosol climatology, and strong view angle effects (>30°).

Timesat software used to gap fill (Savitzky-Golay filter function) (Jönsson and Eklundh, 2004).

Acceptable QA data of varying window sizes were averaged for tower flux comparisons.

Timesat software also used for phenology metrics (SOS, POS, EOS, LOS, integrals).
Howard Springs tower flux and MODIS EVI comparisons (Timesat filtered)
Tower GPP/ LE and MODIS EVI for individual hydrologic years (Jul 1- Jun 30)
There is decreasing sensitivity of tower-satellite relationships with window size,

It is not possible to say if decreased MOD09 sensitivity is due to 8-day intervals or more cloudy MOD09 data.
Poorer regressions overall, however, these 3 products are at 8 day temporal resolution.
Multiple-site Tower GPP and MODIS EVI

![Graph showing GPP vs MODIS EVI for Harvard Forest, Amazon Forest, and Howard Springs. The graph includes a linear regression equation: y = 8086.7x - 1436.8 with R² = 0.751.]
Role of solar radiation and light use efficiency models
interannual variations (very sensitive to footprint matching)
Examples of EVI seasonal/annual time series along NATT aridity transect
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metrics retrieval
Variability in phenology timing events increase from north to south
Conclusions

In general, MODIS EVI tracked tower-Flux measurements fairly well, both seasonally and interannually, and without the need for meteorological data inputs nor LUE estimations.

Although inter-annual integrals of Tower and MODIS were correlated, other phenology metrics (LOS and SOS) did not agree.

Further spatial extension analyses needed, however similarity in EVI * tower-GPP relationships with other sites appear promising for future regional-scale extensions.

More detailed analyses needed on temporal footprint matching of high frequency fluxes with low frequency satellite data and how this varies across canopy phenology stages,

Next is to partition savanna functional classes, particularly the woody and herbaceous layers.

This illustrates the power of integrating remote sensing and ground data. -the consistency between the independent satellite and tower-derived observations lends confidence to both findings.
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