Ancillary ecological measurements I

Fluxnet tables Allometry, sap flow

Lindsay Hutley, Mike Liddell, Jason Beringer



Talk Outline

- Importance of ancillary ecological / ecophysiological measurements
- Site characteristics soils, climate
- Vegetation characteristics
 - LAI how much canopy driving fluxes ?
 - Allometry how much biomass supporting canopy?
 - What are the seasonal dynamics?

Ancillary ecological measurements

- Provide ecological framework to interpret flux data
 - Tower data are short term quantification of fluxes
 - Ecological properties provide context for long-term appraisal
 - Examine limiting factors on productivity e.g. nutrients, soil moisture, site history
- Required to parameterise dynamic vegetation models
 - Integrating or functionally significant variables
- Independent comparison of fluxes variables
 GPP, NPP, NEP and ET

- Fluxnet tables standardised set of variables
 - Site characteristics
 - Soil descriptors
 - Vegetation descriptors

Site characterisation Variable Role Broad soil, climate yegetation classification Role Vegetation descriptorsSitearston/estand age Representativeness, regional context of study Landiage, androse bhangeant date mure TopogifipHeaf area Corretation with with series can be corretation with series can be corretation with series can be corrected at the correct of the correct Corretation with was exchange, growth, phenolog Canopy / stand scale Role Vegetation classification increment distructure, life forms **Growth descriptors** Derive ANPP, GPP. - comparison with tower data Flux sources and sinks, scaling phenology herb./ crop growth Derive BNPP, GPP Basal area, disturbance regime, site history Carbon stocks, estimation of turnover oarse woody debris Carbon stock Poliar flow Water use of woody components Validate Re models - comparison with tower data Partitioning and validation of ET Stem Soil respiration (root, soil microbial respiration)

	Variable	Role
Vegetation descriptors	Leaf scale	
	Foliage and root N concentration	
	Specific leaf area	Correlation with gas exchange, growth, phenology
	Amax, WUE, LUE, NUE, Vcmax,	Key DVGM model variables
	Canopy / stand scale	
	Floristics	Vegetation classification
	Stand structure, life forms	
\longrightarrow	LAI and phenology	Flux sources and sinks, scaling
	- Overstory LAI	
	- Understory LAI	
	Size-class distribution	Basal area, disturbance regime, site history
—	Allometry - AGB, BGB	Carbon stocks, estimation of turnover
	Coarse woody debris	Carbon stock
	Sap flow	Water use of woody components
		Partitioning and validation of FT

• Allometry

- Convert inventory variables to biomass
- Simple measures used to estimate AGB, BGB
 - Ht, DBH and in combination
- Calibration essential for differing species
- Estimate stocks relative to flux
- Carbon accounting

Allometry

- Destructive harvesting
 - Roots, coarse and fine
 - Stem
 - Branch
 - Canopy leaf mass
 - Regressed against tree dimensions
- Species specific relationships developed
- Pool data to look for generic algorithm if possible

Tropical savanna woodlands – AGB allometry





Fig. 1. Height-AGB, ABH-AGB and DBH-height relationships for the various species across sites

> 220 trees, 14 spp. NT, Qld, WA Williams et al. 2004

- Multi-site-species models
- Generic algorithm applicable across woodlands in 3 states
- Combine with remote sensing

	ln(AGB)	$\begin{array}{c}0\\8\\6\\4\\2\\0\\4\end{array}$	3	x 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			4 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		d • (N eve Spe spec	/loc elop cies cific ed	lel ome	ent
			ĮŊ	(D)									
model No.	inputs	intercept	ln(d) (cm)	ln(h) (m)	ln(dh) (m ²)	$ln(d^2h)$ (m ³)	$(lnh)^2$ (m^2)	$(lnd)^2$ (cm^2)	$ln(dh^2)$ (m ³)	RMSE	AIC	BIC	LogLik
1	ln(h)	-3.5413		3.5337						0.8299	548.3	558.5	-271.2
2	$\ln(dh^2)$	1.5812							1.1046	0.4657	294.0	304.2	-144.0
3	ln(dh)	3.9201			1.5588					0.3345	147.1	157.3	-70.5
4	$\ln(h) + (\ln d)^2$	-0.6266		1.0475				0.3497		0.3109	118.3	131.8	-55.1
5	ln(d)	-2.2077	2.4820							0.2695	53.4	63.6	-23.7
6	$\ln(d^2h)$	5.9812				0.9647				0.2557	30.2	40.4	-12.1
7	$\ln(d) + \ln(h)$	-2.6392	2.1735	0.5574						0.2362	-2.6	10.9	5.3
8	$\ln(d) + (\ln h)^2$	-2.0572	2.1561				0.1359			0.2321	-10.4	3.2	9.2

Application of allometry at landscape scales Carbon mapping using SAR





Trenching methods – BGB allometry



- Link AGB to BGB
- Coarse and fine root distribution
- Fine root biomass
- Soil profile
 - Texture classes
 - SOC
 - Nutrient



Allometric methods The hard yards

AGB, BGB





Trenching methods – soils



- Sap flow whole tree / plant transpiration
 - Dominant term of LE as measured by flux tower
 - Monitor population of trees / plants over a range of size classes
 - Provide stand transpiration estimate
 - Validate flux measurements



a tracer t constantly app tion) (Dynagage sap



Scaling heat pulse measures Tree water use v size



Scaling heat pulse measures Tree water use v size



Combine with plot surveys
Tree water use in mm d⁻¹



Partitioning LE/ET – OTC and sap flow





Mtn Ash sap flow and flux validation

